

Jens Jordan

Am J Physiol Regulatory Integrative Comp Physiol 288:555-556, 2005. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00799.2004

You might find this additional information useful...

This article cites 10 articles, 5 of which you can access free at:

<http://ajpregu.physiology.org/cgi/content/full/288/3/R555#BIBL>

This article has been cited by 1 other HighWire hosted article:

Association of Coronary Artery and Aortic Calcium With Lumbar Bone Density: The MESA Abdominal Aortic Calcium Study

J. A. Hyder, M. A. Allison, N. Wong, A. Papa, T. F. Lang, C. Sirlin, S. M. Gapstur, P. Ouyang, J. J. Carr and M. H. Criqui

Am. J. Epidemiol., January 15, 2009; 169 (2): 186-194.

[Abstract] [Full Text] [PDF]

Medline items on this article's topics can be found at <http://highwire.stanford.edu/lists/artbytopic.dtl> on the following topics:

Physiology .. Blood Circulation
Physiology .. Bone
Physiology .. Bone Development
Physiology .. Bone Mineral Density
Medicine .. Cholesterol
Medicine .. Menopause

Updated information and services including high-resolution figures, can be found at:

<http://ajpregu.physiology.org/cgi/content/full/288/3/R555>

Additional material and information about *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* can be found at:

<http://www.the-aps.org/publications/ajpregu>

This information is current as of October 19, 2010 .

The American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology publishes original investigations that illuminate normal or abnormal regulation and integration of physiological mechanisms at all levels of biological organization, ranging from molecules to humans, including clinical investigations. It is published 12 times a year (monthly) by the American Physiological Society, 9650 Rockville Pike, Bethesda MD 20814-3991. Copyright © 2005 by the American Physiological Society. ISSN: 0363-6119, ESN: 1522-1490. Visit our website at <http://www.the-aps.org/>.

Good vibrations and strong bones?

Jens Jordan

Franz-Volhard Clinical Research Center, Charité, Campus Buch and HELIOS Klinikum, Berlin, Germany

THE HUMAN PHYSIOLOGY of bone perfusion has been neglected. The issue may be explained in part by technical difficulties in assessing bone blood flow in vivo. Currently available techniques may be rather expensive, and the access of interested scientists to these techniques may be limited. Another possible explanation for the neglect is the fact that the integration between cardiovascular and bone research fails because each research area is narrowly focused on its own organ or tissue system. This state of affairs is unfortunate given the potentially important interactions between the cardiovascular system and bone. Indeed, bone and vascular disease frequently coexist in the same patients. Osteoporosis risk is increased in patients with atherosclerosis and vice versa. The correlation is probably explained in part by a common underlying mechanism rather than a spurious association. Bone perfusion may be such a common mechanism.

Perfusion appears to be matched to the metabolic demands of the bone. For example, increased bone turnover and inflammation are associated with an increased blood flow. Blood flow decreases as bone turnover normalizes or the inflammation has resolved. Failure of the vasculature to respond to metabolic needs of the bone might predispose to bone disease. Alterations in vascular function and in intraosseous angiogenesis may be contributory. Several studies suggest a correlation between bone perfusion and bone density. Studies used different methodologies and are, therefore, difficult to compare. In one study (8), magnetic resonance imaging was used to obtain an indirect measure of bone marrow perfusion at the level of the lumbar spine. Bone marrow perfusion was correlated with bone mineral density in postmenopausal but not in premenopausal women. In another study (2), decreased bone marrow perfusion was associated with progression of collapse of fractured vertebra in patients with osteoporosis.

Perhaps “bone vascular disease” contributes to osteoporosis. One might further speculate that interventions that improve bone vascular function may have a beneficial effect on bone structure. The anatomic structure of blood small blood vessels within the bone is similar to the structure of blood vessels in other tissues. These vessels may be susceptible to the same genetic and environmental risk factors.

If bone vascular disease and, thus, alterations in perfusion were a cause of excessive bone loss, atherosclerosis risk factors should also increase the risk for osteoporosis. Indeed, smoking, diabetes mellitus, elevated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, reduced high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and hyperhomocystinemia are associated with increased cardiovascular risk and reduced bone mineral density (4). Both the risk for cardiovascular disease and the risk for osteoporosis increase sharply after menopause. A study in rabbits suggests that experimental “postmenopause” through oophorectomy leads to changes in

bone vascular function. In this study (1), oophorectomy increased the responsiveness of isolated vascular rings from small bone arteries to norepinephrine and to endothelin. However, whether vascular damage to the bone vasculature explains the association between osteoporosis and cardiovascular risk factors in humans is unknown.

Interestingly, treatment of some cardiovascular risk factors appears to have a beneficial effect on osteoporosis. For example, smoking cessation leads to an improvement in markers of bone turnover within a 6-wk period (5). Lipid-lowering therapy increases bone mineral density (3). Thiazide diuretics appear to lower the bone fracture rate (7). Moreover, beta blockers appear to do the same (6). Finally, estrogen replacement therapy improves bone density and endothelial function in humans.

If bone perfusion has an important effect on bone density, could an increase in bone perfusion also increase bone density? How can bone blood flow be increased? In this issue of the *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology*, Stewart et al. (9) reviewed the literature on bone perfusion and bone mass. The few available publications seem to suggest that increased venous pressure and increased perfusion tend to increase bone mass. They reasoned that an increase in leg and, perhaps, bone perfusion may contribute to the recently described increase in bone mass with whole body vibration (10). To address this issue, they assessed changes in leg hemodynamics and fluid shifts using strain-gauge and impedance plethysmography before and during whole body vibration. The vibration was elicited by placing the subjects on a vibrating platform. Whole body vibration increased blood flow to the lower body while subjects were in the supine position. Furthermore, the intervention reversed the decrease in leg blood flow in the upright position. Finally, leg vibration shifted the microvascular filtration relation to higher pressures, both in the supine and in the upright position. The shift is probably explained by improved lymphatic drainage. Thus whole body vibration substantially altered leg hemodynamics.

The study by Stewart et al. (9) necessarily has some limitations. The authors did not measure bone perfusion directly. It is difficult to know whether the change in leg blood flow is associated with a change in bone perfusion. I would suggest comparing “cheap” leg blood flow measurements with “costly” more direct measurements of bone blood flow in future studies. It would be tremendously helpful to have inexpensive methods that could be used to obtain hemodynamic measurements that are relevant for bone hemodynamics. Furthermore, the authors did not provide data linking changes in hemodynamics and bone turnover. Perhaps more questions were raised than answered. Nevertheless, the study is of importance because it may generate interest in studying the interaction between bone and the cardiovascular system. Promising clinical and epidemiological data linking vascular disease and osteoporosis ought to be supported by solid physiological work. Equally important, the study suggests that even in the era of molecular medicine, a simple and “old-fashioned” physiological method

Address for reprint requests and other correspondence: J. Jordan, Franz-Volhard Clinical Research Center, Haus 129, Charité-Campus Buch, Wiltbergstr. 50, 13125 Berlin, Germany (E-mail: jordan@fvk.charite-buch.de).

is still useful to raise new scientific hypotheses. A final question for those who will not be interested in bones: Do good vibrations add to angiogenesis elsewhere?

REFERENCES

1. Hansen VB, Forman A, Lundgaard A, Aalkjaer C, Skajaa K, and Hansen ES. Effects of oophorectomy on functional properties of resistance arteries isolated from the cancellous bone of the rabbit femur. *J Orthop Res* 19: 391–397, 2001.
2. Kanchiku T, Taguchi T, Toyoda K, Fujii K, and Kawai S. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging of osteoporotic vertebral fracture. *Spine* 28: 2522–2526, 2003.
3. Lupattelli G, Scarponi AM, Vaudo G, Siepi D, Roscini AR, Gemelli F, Pirro M, Latini RA, Sinzinger H, Marchesi S, and Mannarino E. Simvastatin increases bone mineral density in hypercholesterolemic postmenopausal women. *Metabolism* 53: 744–748, 2004.
4. McFarlane SI, Muniyappa R, Shin JJ, Bahtiyar G, and Sowers JR. Osteoporosis and cardiovascular disease: brittle bones and boned arteries, is there a link? *Endocrine* 23: 1–10, 2004.
5. Oncken C, Prestwood K, Cooney JL, Unson C, Fall P, Kulldorff M, and Raisz LG. Effects of smoking cessation or reduction on hormone profiles and bone turnover in postmenopausal women. *Nicotine Tob Res* 4: 451–458, 2002.
6. Schlienger RG, Kraenzlin ME, Jick SS, and Meier CR. Use of beta-blockers and risk of fractures. *JAMA* 292: 1326–1332, 2004.
7. Schoofs MW, van der KM, Hofman A, de Laet CE, Herings RM, Stijnen T, Pols HA, and Stricker BH. Thiazide diuretics and the risk for hip fracture. *Ann Intern Med* 139: 476–482, 2003.
8. Shih TT, Liu HC, Chang CJ, Wei SY, Shen LC, and Yang PC. Correlation of MR lumbar spine bone marrow perfusion with bone mineral density in female subjects. *Radiology* 233: 121–128, 2004.
9. Stewart JM, Karman C, Montgomery LD, and McLeod KJ. Plantar vibration improves leg fluid flow in perimenopausal women. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 288: R623–R629, 2005.
10. Verschueren SM, Roelants M, Delecluse C, Swinnen S, Vanderschuuren D, and Boonen S. Effect of 6-month whole body vibration training on hip density, muscle strength, and postural control in postmenopausal women: a randomized controlled pilot study. *J Bone Miner Res* 19: 352–359, 2004.

